

REMARKS

Claims 2 and 9 are canceled herein. Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-14 now remain pending in the application.

Claims 1-14 over Turtiainen in view of KIV-7

In the Office Action, claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being obvious over U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0059516 to Turtiainen et al. ("Turtiainen") in view of The Complete PC Solution for the KIV-7 ("KIV-7"). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Claims 2 and 9 have been canceled herein, making the rejection of claims 2 and 9 now moot.

Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-14 recite a system and method of combining a VoIP data stream and data communications to form a combined data stream, and encrypting the combined data stream through a single Type 1 encryption unit into an encrypted data stream.

The Examiner relied on Turtiainen to disclose encryption of a VoIP data stream and encapsulating of the encrypted data stream in IP packets (see Office Action, page 3).

Turtiainen invention is directed toward using "[c]ertain components of IPSec may be advantageously employed with streamed data, providing that these components do not add excessively to the size of data packet" (see paragraph [0010]). Thus, Turtiainen's invention is directed toward using IPSec in a novel way. Turtiainen fails to teach anything related to combining of data from two different sources for encryption by a single device, much less combining a VoIP data stream and a data communications to form a combined data stream and encrypting the combined data stream through a single Type 1 encryption unit into an encrypted data stream, as recited by claims 1, 3-8 and 10-14.

The Examiner relied on KIV-7 to disclose encryption using a Type 1 encryption unit (see Office Action, page 3). KIV-7 is an advertisement for a KIV-7 add-on card for a computer. KIV-7 fails to teach anything related to combining a VoIP data stream and a data communications to form a combined data stream,

much less encrypting the combined data stream through a single Type 1 encryption unit into an encrypted data stream, as recited by claims 1, 3-8 and 10-14.

Thus, Turtiainen in view of KIV-7, either alone or in combination, fails to disclose, teach or suggest a system and method of combining a VoIP data stream and data communications to form a combined data stream, and encrypting the combined data stream through a single Type 1 encryption unit into an encrypted data stream, as recited by claims 1, 3-8 and 10-14.

Accordingly, for at least all the above reasons, claims 8, 10 and 29-32 are patentable over the prior art of record. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

Conclusion

All objections and/or rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the subject application is in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



William H. Bollman
Reg. No.: 36,457
Tel. (202) 261-1020
Fax. (202) 887-0336

MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC
2000 M Street, N.W. 7th Floor
Washington D.C. 20036-3307
WHB/df